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BTnode Platform

- generic platform for wireless distributed embedded computing
- complete platform including OS
- especially suited for pervasive computing applications (IoT)

![BTnode Platform Diagram](image)

- Communication via Bluetooth Transceiver
- Data Interfaces
- Microprocessor and Memory
- Batteries
- 2nd Radio
Development in ES Exercise

Windows XP
GNU GCC
AVR libc
AVR Studio
Eclipse

BTNut OS
Timing Guarantees

- **Hard real-time systems**, often in safety-critical applications abound
  - Aeronautics, automotive, train industries, manufacturing control

Sideairbag in car,
Reaction in <10 mSec

Wing vibration of airplane,
sensing every 5 mSec
Simple Real-Time Control System
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Real-Time Systems

In many cyber-physical systems (CPSs) correct timing is a matter of *correctness*, not performance.
Real-Time Systems
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Real-Time Systems

- Embedded controllers are often expected to finish their tasks reliably within time bounds.

- Essential: upper bound on the execution times of all tasks statically known.

- Commonly called the Worst-Case Execution Time (WCET)

- Analogously, Best-Case Execution Time (BCET)
Distribution of Execution Times

- Best Case Execution Time
- Unsafe: Execution Time Measurement
- Worst Case Execution Time
- Upper bound
Modern Hardware Features

- Modern processors **increase performance** by using: *Caches, Pipelines, Branch Prediction, Speculation*

- These features make **WCET computation difficult**: Execution times of instructions vary widely.
  - **Best case** - everything goes smoothly: no cache miss, operands ready, needed resources free, branch correctly predicted.
  - **Worst case** - everything goes wrong: all loads miss the cache, resources needed are occupied, operands are not ready.
  - **Span may be several hundred cycles.**
System-Level Performance Methods

- Worst-Case
- Best-Case

Real System

Measurement

Simulation

Analysis

e.g. delay
(Most of) Industry’s Best Practice

- **Measurements**: determine execution times directly by observing the execution or a simulation on a set of inputs.
  - Does **not guarantee** an upper bound to all executions.

- **Exhaustive execution** in general **not possible**!
  - Too large space of (input domain) x (set of initial execution states).

- **Compute upper bounds** along the **structure** of the program:
  - Programs are hierarchically structured.
  - Instructions are “nested” inside statements.
  - So, compute the upper bound for a statement from the upper bounds of its constituents.
Determine the WCET

**Complexity:**
- in the general case: undecidable whether a bound exists.
- for restricted programs: simple for "old" architectures, very complex for new architectures with pipelines, caches, interrupts, virtual memory, etc.

**Analytic (formal) approaches:**
- for hardware: typically requires hardware synthesis
- for software: requires availability of machine programs; complex analysis (see, e.g., www.absint.de); requires precise machine (hardware) model [see lecture “hardware software codesign”].
Subtopics

- A few introductory remarks.

- Different programming paradigms.
Why Multiple Processes?

- The concept of *concurrent processes* reflects the intuition about the functionality of embedded systems.

- Processes help us *manage timing complexity*:
  - multiple rates
    - multimedia
    - automotive
  - asynchronous input
    - user interfaces
    - communication systems
Example: Engine Control

- **Processes:**
  - spark control
  - crankshaft sensing
  - fuel/air mixture
  - oxygen sensor
  - Kalman filter – control algorithm
BTnode Platform

Communication via Bluetooth Transceiver

Data Interfaces

at least three interfaces

2nd Radio
Overview

- There are **MANY** structured ways of programming an embedded system.
- Only **main principles** will be covered:
  - **time triggered approaches**
    - periodic
    - cyclic executive
    - generic time-triggered scheduler
  - **event triggered approaches**
    - non-preemptive
    - preemptive – stack policy
    - preemptive – cooperative scheduling
    - preemptive - multitasking
**Time-Triggered Systems**

- **Pure model:**
  - no interrupts except by timer
  - schedule computed off-line → complex sophisticated algorithms can be used
  - deterministic behavior at run-time
  - interaction with environment through polling
Simple Periodic TT Scheduler

- Timer interrupts regularly with period $P$.
- All processes have same period $P$.

**Properties:**
- later processes ($T_2$, $T_3$) have unpredictable starting times
- no problem with communication between processes or use of common resources, as there is a static ordering
- $\sum_{(k)} WCET(T_k) < P$
Simple Periodic TT Scheduler

main:
  determine table of processes \((k, T(k)\)), for \(k=0,1,\ldots,m-1\);
  \(i=0; \) set the timer to expire at initial phase \(t(0)\);
  while (true) sleep();

Timer Interrupt:
  \(i=i+1;\)
  set the timer to expire at \(i*P + t(0)\);
  for \((k=0,\ldots,m-1)\) { execute process \(T(k)\); } 
  return;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>(T(k))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(T_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(T_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(T_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(T_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(T_5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for example using a function pointer in C; task returns after finishing.

usually done offline

set CPU to low power mode; returns after interrupt

\(k\) \(T(k)\) m=5
TT Cyclic Executive Scheduler

- Processes may have different periods.
- The period P is partitioned into frames of length f.

Problem, if there are long processes; they need to be partitioned into a sequence of small processes; this is TERRIBLE, as local state must be extracted and stored globally:
TT Cyclic Executive Scheduler

Some conditions:

- A process executes at most once within a frame:
  \[ f \leq p(k) \quad \forall k \]

- Period \( P \) is least common multiple of all periods \( p(k) \).
- Processes start and complete within a single frame:
  \[ f \geq WCET(k) \quad \forall k \]

- Between release time and deadline of every task there is at least one frame boundary:
  \[ 2f - \gcd(p(k), f) \leq D(k) \quad \forall k \]
Sketch of Proof for Last Condition

release times and deadlines of processes
frames

starting time
latest finishing time

\[ f - \gcd(p(k), f) \]

\[ f \]

\[ \text{at least } \gcd(p(k), f) \]

\[ D(k) \]
Example: Cyclic Executive Scheduler

- Conditions:
  \[ f \leq \min\{4, 5, 20\} = 4 \]
  \[ f \geq \max\{1.0, 1.0, 1.8, 2.0\} = 2.0 \]
  \[ 2f - \gcd(p(k), f) \leq D(k) \quad \forall k \]

  possible solution: \( f = 2 \)

- Feasible solution (\( f=2 \)):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(k)</th>
<th>D(k)</th>
<th>p(k)</th>
<th>WCET(k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T_1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generic Time-Triggered Scheduler

In an entirely time-triggered system, the temporal control structure of all tasks is established \textit{a priori} by off-line support-tools. This temporal control structure is encoded in a \textit{Task-Descriptor List (TDL)} that contains the cyclic schedule for all activities of the node. This schedule considers the required precedence and mutual exclusion relationships among the tasks such that an explicit coordination of the tasks by the operating system at run time is not necessary. ..

The dispatcher is activated by the synchronized clock tick. It looks at the TDL, and then performs the action that has been planned for this instant [Kopetz].
Simplified Time-Triggered Scheduler

main:

determine static schedule \((t(k), T(k))\), for \(k=0,1,\ldots,n-1\);
determine period of the schedule \(P\);
set \(i=k=0\) initially; set the timer to expire at \(t(0)\);
while (true) sleep();

Timer Interrupt:
\[
k_{\text{old}} := k; \\
i := i+1; \quad k := i \mod n; \\
set the timer to expire at \lfloor i/n \rfloor \times P + t(k); \\
execute process \(T(k_{\text{old}})\); \\
return;
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
k & t(k) & T(k) \\
\hline
0 & 0 & T_1 \\
1 & 3 & T_2 \\
2 & 7 & T_1 \\
3 & 8 & T_3 \\
4 & 12 & T_2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\(n=5\), \(P = 16\)

possible extensions: execute aperiodic background tasks if
system is idle; check for task overruns (WCET too long)
Summary Time-Triggered Scheduler

- **Deterministic** schedule; conceptually simple (static table); relatively easy to validate, test and certify
- no problems in using *shared resources*
- external communication *only via polling*
- **Inflexible** as no adaptation to environment
- serious *problems* if there are *long processes*

**Extensions:**
- allow interrupts (shared resources ? WCET ?) → *be careful!!*
- allow preemptable background processes
Event Triggered Systems

- The schedule of processes is determined by the occurrence of external interrupts:
  - **dynamic and adaptive**: there are possible problems with respect to timing, the use of shared resources and buffer over- or underflow
  - **guarantees** can be given either off-line (if bounds on the behavior of the environment are known) or during run-time
Non-Preemptive ET Scheduling

- **Principle:**
  - To each event, there is associated a corresponding process that will be executed.
  - Events are emitted by (a) external interrupts and (b) by processes themselves.
  - Events are collected in a queue; depending on the queuing discipline, an event is chosen for running.
  - Processes can not be preempted.

- **Extensions:**
  - A background process can run (and preempted!) if the event queue is empty.
  - Timed events enter the queue only after a time interval elapsed. This enables periodic instantiations for example.
Non-Preemptive ET Scheduling

main:
  while (true) {
    if (event queue is empty) {
      sleep();
    } else {
      extract event from event queue;
      execute process corresponding to event;
    }
  }

Interrupt:
  put event into event queue;
  return;

set CPU to low power mode;
returns after interrupt

for example using a function pointer in C;
process returns after finishing.
Non-Preemptive ET Scheduling

**Properties:**

- Communication between processes is simple (no problems with shared resources); interrupts may cause problems with shared resources.
- Buffer overflow if too many events are generated by environment or processes.
- Long processes prevent others from running and may cause buffer overflow.
  - Partition processes into smaller ones.
  - Local context must be stored.
Preemptive ET Scheduling – Stack Policy

- Similar to non-preemptive case, but *processes can be preempted* by others; this resolves partly the problem of long tasks.

- If the order of preemption is restricted, we can use the usual *stack-based context mechanism of function calls* (process = function).

```
main()
{
    ...
    task1();
    ...

    task1()
    {
        ...
        task2();
        ...
```
Preemptive ET Scheduling – Stack Policy

Processes must finish in **LIFO order** of their instantiation.
- restricts flexibility
- not useful, if several processes wait unknown time for external events

**Shared resources** (communication between processes!) must be **protected**, for example: disabling interrupts, use of semaphores.
Preemptive ET Scheduling – Stack Policy

main:

while (true) {
    if (event queue is empty) {
        sleep();
    } else {
        select event from event queue;
        execute selected process;
        remove selected event from queue;
    }
}

InsertEvent:

put new event into event queue;
select event from event queue;
if (sel. process ≠ running process) {
    execute selected process;
    remove selected event from queue;
}
return;

Interrupt:

InsertEvent(...);
return;

set CPU to low power mode;
returns after interrupt

for example using a function pointer in C;
process returns after finishing.

may be called by interrupt service routines (ISR) or processes
Process

- A process is a unique execution of a program.
  - Several copies of a “program” may run simultaneously or at different times.

- A process has its own state. In case of a thread, this state consists mainly of:
  - register values;
  - memory stack;
Processes and CPU

- **Activation record:**
  - copy of process state
  - includes registers and local data structures

- **Context switch:**
  - current CPU context goes out
  - new CPU context goes in
Co-operative Multitasking

- Each process allows a context switch at `cswitch()` call.
- Separate scheduler chooses which process runs next.

**Advantages:**
- predictable, where context switches can occur
- less errors with use of shared resources

**Problems:**
- programming errors can keep other threads out, thread never gives up CPU
- real-time behavior at risk if it takes too long before context switch allowed
Example: co-operative multitasking

**Process 1**

```c
if (x > 2)
    sub1(y);
else
    sub2(y);
cswitch();
proca(a,b,c);
```

**Process 2**

```c
procdata(r,s,t);
cswitch();
if (val1 == 3)
    abc(val2);
    rst(val3);
```

**Scheduler**

```c
save_state(current);
p = choose_process();
load_and_go(p);
```
A Typical Programming Interface

- Example of a co-operative multitasking OS for small devices: *NutOS* (as used in the practical exercises).

- Semantics of the calls is expressed using *Petri Nets*
  - Bipartite graph consisting of *places* and *transitions*.
  - Data and control are represented by moving *token*.
  - Tokens are moved by transitions according to *rules*: A transition can *fire* (is enabled) if there is at least one token in every input place. After firing, one token is removed from each input place and one is added to each output place.
Example: Single Track Rail Segment
Example: Conflict for Resource „Track“

train wanting to go right

train going to the right

track available

train going to the left
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

Creating a Thread

```c
THREAD(my_thread, arg) {
    for (;;) {
        // do something
    }
}
```

A thread looks like a function that never returns.

```c
int main(void) {
    if (0 == NutThreadCreate("My Thread", my_thread, 0, 192)) {
        // Creating the thread failed
    }
    for (;;) {
        // do something
    }
}
```

The thread is put into life.

Stack size
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

- sleep queue
- ready queue
- wait queue
- record for new thread

- memory

- thick lines: threads
- thin lines: control

- ThreadCreate
- reserve stack
- add thread control block
- select highest priority
- run
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

**Terminating**

```c
THREAD(my_thread, arg) {
    for (;;) {
        // do something
        if (some condition)
            NutThreadExit()
    }
}
```

can only kill itself
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

sleep queue

run

ready queue

select highest priority

ThreadExit

wait queue
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

- Yield access to another thread:

```
THREAD(my_thread, arg) {
    for (;;) {
        NutThreadSetPriority(20);
        // do something
    }
}
```

- Same structure for SetPriority:
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

Sleep

```c
THREAD(my_thread, arg) {
    for (;;) {
        // do something
        NutSleep(1000);
    }
}
```
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

sleep queue

select expired time

ready queue

select highest priority

run

Sleep

wait queue
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

Posting and waiting for events:

```c
#include <sys/event.h>

HANDLE my_event;

THREAD(thread_A, arg) {
    for (;;;;) { // some code
        NutEventWait(&my_event, NUT_WAIT_INFINITE);
        // some code
    }
}

THREAD(thread_B, arg) {
    for (;;;;) { // some code
        // some code
        NutEventPost(&my_event);
        // some code
    }
}
```

wait for event, but only limited time

post event
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

EventWait

- Sleep queue
- Ready queue
- Wait queue
- Select highest priority
- Run

There is one event queue for each event type.
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

EventWait

sleep queue

select expired time

ready queue

select highest priority

run

wait queue

posted event waits

no event waits

EventWait

there is one event queue for each event type
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

EventPost

- sleep queue
- ready queue
- wait queue
- select highest priority
- run

There is one event queue for each event type.
API for Co-Operative Scheduling

EventPost

- sleep queue
- ready queue
- wait queue

select highest priority

there is one event queue for each event type

select highest priority

posted event waits
no event waits

EventPost
Preemptive Multitasking

- Most powerful form of multitasking:
  - Scheduler (OS) controls when contexts switches;
  - Scheduler (OS) determines what process runs next.

- Use of timers to call OS and switch contexts:

- Use **hardware or software interrupts**, or direct calls to OS routines to switch context.
Flow of Control with Preemption

interrupt

P1 OS P1 OS P2

interrupt

time